

ununftp.is

Final Project 2012

AN ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT OF THE CLOSED SEASONS ON ABUNDANCE OF THE SILVER CYPRINID, *RASTRINEOBOLA ARGENTEA*, IN LAKE VICTORIA

Robert Wanyama Ministry of Fisheries Development Nairobi, Kenya robert_innocent34@yahoo.com

Supervisors:

Hreidar Thor Valtysson hreidar@unak.is

Hlynur Ármannsson hlynur@unak.is

ABSTRACT

Lake Victoria is currently dominated by the introduced Nile perch, (Lates niloticus), Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) and the endemic cyprinid Rastrineobola argentea. R. argentea is second only to Nile perch in terms of commercial importance. With the recent declining trends in the catches of Nile perch and most other fish species in the lake, interest has gradually been shifting to the exploitation of R. argentea. From time to time Lake Victoria partner states have been implementing management measures aimed at ensuring sustainable utilization of the fisheries. One such measure was to implement a yearly closed season for fishing of *R. argentea* for four months period, starting in 2001. However this ban was only implemented by Kenya. The objective of this study is to assess the effect of the closed seasons in the Kenyan part of the lake on the abundance of R. argentea. Catch, CPUE and biomass data were analysed to establish trends between 1999 and 2011, for the Kenyan and Ugandan portions as well as the entire lake. The results indicated an increased biomass not only on the Kenyan side, where closed seasons are implemented, but also in the entire lake. This indicates that factors other than fishing, such as environmental and ecological changes play a crucial role in the fluctuations of *R. argentea* abundance in the lake. This study highlights the need for more information on the biology of R. argentea in order to develop management strategies. Furthermore, since this is a short-lived species, a total ban should be replaced with a more focused restriction targeting critical breeding areas and nursery grounds in cooperation with fishers.

This paper should be cited as:

Wanyama, R.. 2013. An assessment of the effect of the closed seasons on abundance of the silver cyprinid, Rastrinebola argenta, in Lake Victoria. United Nations University Fisheries Training Programme, Iceland [final project]. http://www.unuftp.is/static/fellows/document/robert12prf.pdf

TABLE OF CONTENTS

. INTRODUCTION
1.1. Background information
1.2. Problem statement
1.3. Objectives of the study
. MATERIALS AND METHODS 10
2.1. Data sources
2.2. Data analysis
Dynamic production model 11
. RESULTS
3.1 Review of the status of biomass of <i>R. argentea</i> in Lake Victoria
3.2 Biomass, exploitation ratio, Index of abundance, Yield and Recruitment of <i>R. argentea</i> in the Kenya and Uganda portions from 1997 to 2011
3.3 Relationship between environmental changes in Lake Victoria on the abundance of R. <i>argentea</i>
Outputs of P-values for environmental parameters from the R-statistical Program 22
DISCUSSION
. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
5.1 Conclusions
5.2 Recommendations
CKNOWLEDGEMENTS 26
APPENDICES

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Map of Lake Victoria showing the internal boundaries (Lakes & Reservoirs:
Research and Management 2005 Vol.10)
Figure 2: Size of <i>R. argentea</i>
Figure 3: Food chain of some of the main species affecting the <i>R. argentea</i> ecology7
Figure 4: Sesse boat (pointed at both ends)
Figure 5: Trend of biomass in Lake Victoria estimated in acoustic survey in August, 1999-
2011
Figure 6: Yield (a), Index of biomass (b), recruitment (c), trends of biomass (d) and, the
exploitation ratio (e) of R. argentea in the Kenyan part of Lake Victoria, vertical line shows
the timing of the seasonal ban16
Figure 7: Yield (a), Index of biomass (b), recruitment (c), trends of biomass (d) and, the
exploitation ratio (e) of R. argentea in the Ugandan part of Lake Victoria, vertical line
shows the timing of the seasonal ban17
Figure 8: CPUE for the fisheries of R. argentea in the Ugandan and Kenyan part of Lake
Victoria from 1997-2011
Figure 9: Trends of biomass and pH (a) and the relationship between biomass and pH (b),
in the Lake Victoria's Nyanza gulf, Kenya from 1997-201118
Figure 10: Trends of biomass and Secchi-depth (a) and the relationship between biomass
and secchi -depth (b) in the Lake Victoria's Nyanza gulf, Kenya from 1997-2011 19
Figure 11:Trends of biomass and Temperature (a) and the relationship between biomass
and temperature (b) in the Lake Victoria's Nyanza gulf, Kenya from 1997-2011 20
Figure 12: Trends of biomass and dissolved oxygen (a) and the relationship between
biomass and dissolved oxygen (b), in Lake Victoria's Nyanza gulf, Kenya from 1997-2011.

LIST OF TABLES

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background information

Lake Victoria is the world's second largest fresh water body, after U.S.A's Lake Superior, (Prado *et al.* 1991). It is one of the African Great Lakes, covering approximately 68 000 km². The lake is shared by Kenya (6% by area), Uganda (43%) and Tanzania (51%) (Figure 1). It has a mean depth of 40 m, maximum depth of 84 m, shoreline of 3 450 km, a water retention time of 140 years and a catchment area of 193 000 km², which extends into Rwanda and Burundi (Cowx *et al.* 2003).

The population in the Lake Victoria basin that depend directly or indirectly on the lake's resources is approximately 30 million. Fisheries contribute around 3% to the gross domestic product of the riparian states and they are major sources of income, food, employment and foreign exchange earnings. Lake Victoria is the most important source of affordable animal protein, in the form of fish, in East Africa. It is also the most important source of freshwater fish on the African continent. The fishery is diverse, highly dispersed, and fragmented with about 1 500 landing sites and more than 120 000 fishers. The lake is also important in conservation terms because of the great diversity of endemic fish species (Cowx *et al.* 2003).



Figure 1: Map of Lake Victoria showing the international boundaries (Cowx et al. 2003).

The Lake Victoria ecosystem once hosted a diverse fish community dominated by hundreds of fish species. Today this fish assemblage is highly altered by the effects of anthropogenic activities, with at least half of the indigenous species either extinct or very rare. The fish community in the lake has changed radically to a current dominance by the introduced Nile perch, the endemic cyprinid *R. argentea* and the introduced Nile tilapia. A few relic populations of indigenous fish species are also present (Ogutu-Ohwayo 1990 a). The intriguing species dynamics in Lake Victoria was observed by Witte *et al.* (1992), citing a

fourfold increase in the biomass of a pelagic cyprinid, *R. argentea*; a rapid increase that was attributed then to the disappearance of most of the zooplanktivorous haplochromines which were thought to compete with *R. argentea* for food. The group of haplochromines consists of more than 300 species inhabiting almost all types of habitats and trophic niches. They were known to co-exist in such great numbers of closely related species in relatively small areas as noted by Kigongo (2002).

Over the past decades, Lake Victoria has undergone dramatic ecological changes associated with physical, chemical and biological processes (Witte *et al.* 1992, Lung'ayia *et al.* 2000, Mugidde *et al.* 2005). The introduction of new species, degrading environment and ever increasing fishing effort have led to a decline in fish catches (Cowx *et al.* 2003). Changes in the lake's biodiversity, threaten the sustenance of the lake fishery upon which millions depend on for their livelihoods (Njiru *et al.* 2008). According to Gichuki *et al.* (2010), secchi disc visibility has decreased by about 75% in the last four decades. Oxycline depth decreased by 50% indicating that a large body of the deeper waters cannot support life as it did before. Primary productivity has doubled over the period and there has been an 8-10 fold increase in algal biomass. Changes in ecological interactions due to species introduction, predation accelerated by the environmental changes and increased fishing pressure have disrupted the ecosystem dynamics of Lake Victoria and pose serious uncertainties on the lakes future stability and sustainability of the fisheries resources (Gichuki *et al.* 2010).

R. argentea (Figure 2), the silver cyprinid, is a species of ray-finned fish in the Cyprinidae family, and is the only member of the genus *Rastrineobola* and is endemic to Lake Victoria. It's local names are Omena in Kenya, dagaa in Tanzania and mukene in Uganda. It is widely distributed throughout the pelagic zone of Lake Victoria, with a variation in the size distribution between different locations around the lake (Marshall & Cowx, 2003). According to Kigongo (2002) the highest abundance of *R. argentea* is found at shallower and near the bottom zone during the day (5 to 9m from the bottom), and is homogeneously distributed throughout the whole water column at dusk. During the night the highest abundance occurs near the surface, between 2 to 4m, and at dawn a homogeneous distribution over the whole water column can be observed again.



Figure 2: R. argentea from Lake Victoria.

R. argentea is more or less an obligate zooplantivore and as such has a crucial role in the ecosystem of the lake as a link between the zooplankton and the higher predators such as Nile perch (Figure 3).



Figure 3: Food chain of some of the main species affecting the *R. argentea* ecology, based on published feeding studies discussed in the text.

R. argentea feeds on a wide range of zooplankton taxa in the lake especially copepod and cladocera. Wanink (1998) and Wandera (1992) reported the diet of *R. argentea* to predominantly consist of copepods, with relatively little contribution from cladocerans and rotifers. Studies done in much shallower areas found *R. argentea* to select cladocerans as their prey of choice but copepods were also taken. Other studies using stable isotopes showed incorporation of other prey items into their diet including insects (Ojwang *et al.*

2004). *R. argentea* compete to some extent with the zooplanktivorous haplochromines, for the same food. In the 1970s, haplochromine cichlids used to be the main prey of the introduced Nile perch in Lake Victoria. After depletion of the haplochromine stocks at the end of the 1980s, Nile perch shifted to the shrimp, *Caridina nilotica*, and to a lesser degree to its own young and *R. argentea*. A dramatic increase of the Nile perch in the 1980s (Ogutu-Ohwayo 1990b, Goudswaard *et al.* 2008) coincided with the disappearance of about 40% of the almost 500 endemic haplochromine species, increases of *R. argentea* and of the shrimp *C. nilotica* were noted (Wanink 1999). About a decade later Nile perch yields declined due to intense fishing and resurgence of some haplochromine species was observed in the lake (Seehausen *et al.* 1997, Balirwa *et al.* 2003, Witte *et al.* 2007).

R. argentea is one of the most important commercial fisheries of Lake Victoria. In the early 1990s the fishery contributed between 30-40% of the total catch of Lake Victoria (Othina and Osewe-Odera 1997). In 2011, the catch was 54% of the total weight of fish landed on the Kenyan side of the lake (GoK 2011).

Generally, *R. argentea* is harvested from V-shaped bottom Sesse boats, pointed at both ends and powered by sails and/or paddles (Figure 4), or rarely motorised Sesse boats flat at one end. The total numbers of boats, whose length measures 9 metres on average, are approximately 3000 in Kenya, 2700 in Uganda and 8000 in Tanzania (LVFO 2010). Fishing is performed with small seine nets (commonly called mosquito seines) and attraction lamps (paraffin or solar).



Figure 4: Sesse boat (pointed at both ends) on the shore of Lake Victoria, used for fishing *R.argentea*.

This technology defines the monthly pattern of harvesting the fish in most parts of the lake. Peak harvesting occurs during dark nights, when the effect of artificial attraction to light is maximised. In the shallower waters of the lake, however, the low depths and high turbidity reduce the benefits of using lamps, Therefore; fishing in the shallower waters takes place without any lamps for attraction. Because of the lunar cycles, deep water fishing of *R. argentea* usually only takes place for 18-24 days in a month. Most *R. argentea* fishers use the remaining days of the month to mend and prepare their gear or attend to other non-fishery engagements. It has sometimes been argued that this natural one-week '*R. argentea* closure' provides a natural replenishment period for this fish (GoK 2004).

Price trend analysis of *R. argentea* in Kenya (adjusted for inflationary changes) indicates that the prices tripled between 1990 and 2000. This is attributable to an increase in demand

for the fish, particularly due to the expansion in the fishmeal processing industry, which started using *R. argentea* in the mid-1980s (Bokea and Ikiara 2000, Abila and Jansen 1997). About 50% of all landings are utilized as fishmeal in the animal feed industry (Baer 2001).

1.2. Problem statement

A closed period for fishing *R. argentea* in the Kenyan waters was established as management measure in 2001 through a Gazette notice (GoK 2001). By this notice, it is illegal to fish and display for sale *R. argentea* between 1st April and 31st July each year. This measure was taken after an outcry by the fishermen that the catches per unit of effort for this species were going down, demanding action from the governments sharing the lake. A baseline survey on *R. argentea* breeding areas and seasons was carried out and its findings, coupled with indigenous knowledge on Lake Victoria fisheries informed the decision by the Ministry of Fisheries to implement closed seasons for the fishing of *R. argentea*. The decision was also supported with scientific information obtained from earlier studies done in Lake Victoria when alarm was raised concerning the decreasing catches of the species (Manyala *et al.* 2001).

Closed season for the *R.argentea* has never been implemented in the Ugandan or Tanzanian parts of the lake. Research carried out so far shows biomass of the species has been increasing and the catch per unit of effort has been stable during the last three years (GoK 2011). There have been complaints by stakeholders in the fisheries sector who question the necessity of the closed season especially as it is only implemented by one of the three fishing nations. Therefore the question to be answered is whether it is necessary to continue implementing seasonal closures in the Kenyan part of the lake.

1.3. Objectives of the study

The objective of the study was to assess the effect of closed seasons on the abundance of R. *argentea* in Lake Victoria. More specifically the study;

- 1. Reviewed trends in the biomass of *R. argentea* in the Kenyan, Ugandan and Tanzanian portions of Lake Victoria, before and after introduction of closed seasons in the Kenyan portion of the lake.
- 2. Compared the biomass, yield and exploitation ratio of *R. argentea* in the Kenyan and Ugandan portions of Lake Victoria before and after introduction of closed seasons in Kenyan portion of the lake
- 3. Explored whether the environmental changes in the lake could have had an effect on the abundance of *R. argentea*
- 4. Makes recommendations based on the results of the study.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Data sources

Biomass estimates of *R. argentea* based on acoustic surveys in Kenyan, Ugandan and Tanzanian portion of the lake, collected twice a year from 1999 to 2011, were used as an input in a dynamic production model for calculation of trends in biomass in individual countries and the entire lake (Table 1). A team collected the acoustic data from the Fisheries Research Institutes of the three riparian countries. Official landing statistics of Kenya and Uganda were used to assess the total annual catch in the commercial light fishery for R. *argentea* from the two countries from 1997-2011 (Table 2). These data together with effort data gathered in frame surveys were used to obtain the catch per unit of effort (CPUE) for these two countries. The landings data were collected by the respective ministries in-charge of fisheries activities in the partner states while the effort data was collected by the Lake Victoria Fisheries Organization (LVFO), Frame Survey Regional Working Group. Landings data of *R. argentea* for Tanzania were found to be inconsistent and were therefore not used in this study.

	February				August			
Year	Tanzania	Uganda	Kenya	Entire	Tanzania	Uganda	Kenya	Entire
				Lake				Lake
1999					155 655	46 970	25 098	227 723
2000	441 310	345.240		924 663	177 749	168 219	22 524	368 492
2001	343 353	411 941	51 464	806 758	201 640	83 833	48 850	334 323
2002	322 944	196 917	62 579	582 440				
2003								
2004								
2005					163 052	255 221	137 642	555 915
2006	556 734	629 671	85 513	1 271 918	366 907	360 262	75 610	802 779
2007	662 231	448 332	86 700	1 197 263	390 841	469 553	131 395	991 789
2008	475 850	427 359	73 833	977 042	416 488	352 566	78 551	847 605
2009	648 882	559 409	144 317	1 352 608	432 913	369 045	75 596	877 554
2010	614388	485 025	68 864	1 168 277				
2011					457 983	404 583	56 087	918 653

Table 1: Biomass (metric tons) of *R. argentea* in Lake Victoria based on acoustic surveys performed February and August from 1999 to 2011.

Year	Uganda catches	Uganda Effort	Uganda CPUE	Kenya Catches	Kenya Effort	Kenya CPUE
	(Metric tons)	No. of Boats	(Tons/boat/yr)	(Metric tons)	No. of Boats	(Tons/boat/yr)
1997	13454			40315	1882	21.4
1998	15685			42336	2890	14.6
1999	17572			40168	2003	20.1
2000	12162	638	19.1	38968	2012	19.4
2001	12163	730	16.7	49165	2138	23
2002	11981	856	14	35455	2097	16.9
2003	8248	1012	8.2	31659	2566	12.3
2004	22902	1179	19.4	34679	3048	11.4
2005	25672	1420	18.1	54019	2930	18.4
2006	22618	1608	14.1	57929	3181	18.2
2007	30800	2115	14.6	49472	3007	16.5
2008	33000	2337	14.1	46966	3048	15.4
2009	47000	2413	19.5	49326	2889	17.1
2010	63560	2699	23.5	70000	2981	25.9
2011				72314	2845	25.4

Table 2: Kenya and Uganda catches, effort and CPUE for *R. argentea* in Lake Victoria from 1997-2011.

Environmental data on: dissolved oxygen, chlorophyll, secchi-depth, pH-value and temperature in the Nyanza gulf, from 1997 to 2011, were collected by the Kenyan Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, during the day (average taken for morning and evening readings) at an average depth of 7 metres (Table 3). These data were compared to the estimated biomass for the Kenya portion of the lake generated by the dynamic production model.

2.2. Data analysis

Dynamic production model.

Dynamic biomass models also known as surplus production models are often used by fisheries scientists in cases of limited data. They require less data and are appropriate for limited datasets, for stock assessment. They are based on the principle of time series fitting using maximum likelihood or non-linear least squares minimization. Estimates of the initial biomass and model parameters are generated and catch data are then fitted in the production model to predict the whole biomass series. A measure of difference between observed and predicted values is identified and then the differences are minimized to fit the models (Hilborn and Walters 1992, Prager 1994, Punt and Hilborn 1996).

The statistical program R was used to model biomass, recruitment, yield, exploitation ratio and Index of biomass of *R. argentea* between 1999 and 2011, for Kenya and Uganda

portions of the lake. The input into the model was catch, CPUE and biomass data for the two countries (Tables 1 and 2). The outputs showed trends of observed and predicted biomass, recruitment, yield, exploitation ratios and Index of abundance for Kenya and Ugandan waters.

Dissolved						
Year	Biomass (metric tons)	Secchi-depth (metres)	oxygen (ppm)	Temperature (°C)	pН	Chlorophyll (Mg/l ⁻¹)
1997	240 000	1.53	7.58	26.3	7.63	-
1998	191 612	1.43	7.49	26.5	7.64	-
1999	172 932	1.32	7.36	26.5	7.69	13.2
2000	167 701	1.09	7.29	26.6	7.71	10.8
2001	215 114	0.97	6.98	26.7	7.75	-
2002	211 482	0.92	6.89	26.7	7.92	-
2003	211 870	0.83	6.74	26.7	7.95	-
2004	248 706	0.75	6.66	26.8	8.13	-
2005	347 269	0.73	6.13	26.8	8.45	-
2006	360 321	0.71	5.74	26.8	8.56	14.8
2007	361 467	0.69	5.36	26.9	8.59	15.7
2008	332 610	0.66	4.81	26.9	8.64	16.8
2009	325 940	0.51	3.71	26.9	8.84	12.7
2010	343 744	0.45	2.98	26.9	9.03	-
2011	332 681	0.25	2.05	26.9	9.11	-

Table 3: Environmental parameters and biomass of *R. argentea* in the Lake Victoria's Nyanza gulf, Kenya, Biomass is based on the results of the dynamic production model.

The model incorporates, stock size, recruitment, natural mortality and weighting correction factors which are explained below.

• Stock size

The estimation of *R. argentea* biomass of each year was based on a model of population number:

$$N_{y+1} = N_y + R_y - C_y$$

Where R_y is the recruitment in year y, N_y is the number that survive in year y, while C_y is the catch in the year. Biomass was then calculated by:

$$By_{=\sum}(N_{ay} * w_a)$$

Where, N_{ay} , is the number of a particular age that survive during the year and w_a , is average weight.

• Recruitment

The spawning stock per recruit in the fishery was estimated by using the Beverton and Holt equation: $R = \frac{\alpha s}{(1+\frac{s}{\tau})}$

Where R = recruitment, s = spawning stock, α = coefficient used as a multiplier for prospective recruitment, k = size of the spawning stock that produces half maximum recruitment.

• Natural mortality

Natural mortality, M, was also required as one of the inputs in the model. Since there was no sufficient data to compute, it was assumed to be 0.9. This value was acquired from literature of (Manyala et al, 1995), who obtained it by computing from the empirical formula of Pauly (1980). Estimates of L ∞ and K were used as inputs for a mean annual temperature of 22^oC for Lake Victoria.

 $Log M = -0.0152 - log L\infty + 0.6543log K + 0.0643log T$

• Fitting the dynamic production model

The model was fitted by first using initial parameters stated above and followed by defining the functions in R, which utilizes a non-linear minimization. The model was evaluated by comparing the model output to the data and this is done by computing the sums of squares between each data set and the fitted values they correspond to (Stefansson 2007).

Coefficients of variation, CVs for different parameters were used as weighting factors assigned to the sums of squares which were then minimized in order to estimate the parameters in the model. Assuming that all terms are log transformed data. Each term is then of the form:

 $\lambda \sum (\ln(\mathbf{x}_2) - \ln(\mathbf{\hat{x}}_t))^2$

where x_t 's were annual landings, biomass index, recruitment factor, fishing mortality and λ is the weighting factor.

Statistically, the "correct" weighting factor is the inverse of the variance, $\lambda = 1/\sigma_{\ln(xt)}^2$. But in the case of a low dispersion, the standard deviations of the log-transformed quantities and the coefficient of variation of the original numbers are similar. Thus, CV(x) is used as $\sigma_{\ln(xt)}$.

The CV for catch, CV(Y) was assumed to equal 0.01 since catch data are quite precise. The CV(Y) used here thus assumes that 95% of annual catch estimates lie within 20% (two standard deviations) of their true values (we assume here again that the errors are symmetrical). The coefficient of variation for biomass index, CV(I), was set to 0.01, coefficient of variation of fishing mortality, CV(F), was similarly set at 0.01 and coefficient of variation of recruitment, CV(R), was set to 10000000. During the iteration process, these values were allowed to deviate freely until better CVs were obtained to fit the model. The model is fitted by first using the initial parameters stated above, followed by defining the functions in R, which utilizes a non-linear minimization.

The model was evaluated by comparing the model output to the data and this is done by computing the sums of squares (SSE) between each data set and the fitted values they correspond to. Coefficients of variation, CVs for different parameters are used as weighting factors assigned to the sums of squares which will then be minimized in order to estimate the parameters in the model (Stefansson 2007).

The statistical program R was also used to run a linear regression model to determine if there was a significant relationship between the biomass of *R. argentea* in the Kenya part of the lake and each of the environmental parameters.

Excel program was used to draw scatterplots for visual comparisons of the estimated biomass in the Kenyan part of Lake Victoria (outputs from the dynamic production model) and data on temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen and secchi-depth in 1997-2011.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Review of the status of biomass of *R. argentea* in Lake Victoria

The information covers the period from 1999-2011, no data were collected in 2003 and 2004. In addition no acoustic surveys were carried out in August 2002 and 2010 and February 2005 and 2010 (Table 1). However when the acoustic estimates for the whole lake were plotted (august-survey), it shows a steady increase in the biomass from 1999-2007 and a rather stable period from 2007-2011 (Figure 5).



Figure 5: Trend of biomass in entire Lake Victoria estimated in acoustic survey in August, 1999- 2011.

3.2 Biomass, exploitation ratio, Index of abundance, Yield and Recruitment of *R. argentea* in the Kenya and Uganda portions from 1997 to 2011

The outputs from the dynamic production model for the Kenyan part of Lake Victoria are shown in Figure 6. Figures 6(a) and 6(b) show annual catch and biomass index, with small circles for actual data and lines fitted by the model. Figures 6c, 6d, and 6e are recruitment, biomass and exploitation ratio respectively.

The yield was rather stable from 1997 to 2004, followed by a sharp increase in 2005 and a stable period of high yield after that. The same patterns were evident for the biomass index, the recruitment pattern and the calculated biomass. The exploitation has fluctuated over the period.

The results from the dynamic production model for the Uganda part of Lake Victoria are shown in Figure 7. According to the model outputs, the yield in the Ugandan portion of the Lake were rather stable from 1998 to 2003 but have been rising steadily since. The same patterns were evident for the biomass index, the recruitment pattern and the calculated biomass. The exploitation ratio (Figure 7e) has been fluctuating through the period, without a clear trend.

Values of CPUE in the *R. argentea* fisheries in the Ugandan and Kenyan part of the lake from 1997-2011 are rather stable and the fluctations similar in the two regions (Table 2 and Figure 8). However the CPUE did not show any correlation with biomass and is not useable as a less expensive substitute for the biomass survey. The CPUE from the fishing boats was therefore not used in further analysis.



Figure 6: Yield (Tons) (a), Index of biomass (b), recruitment (Numbers in millions) (c), trends of biomass (Tons) (d) and, the exploitation ratio (e) of *R. argentea* in the Kenyan part of Lake Victoria, vertical line shows when the seasonal ban was first implemented in the Kenyan part of the lake.

3.3 Relationship between environmental changes in Lake Victoria on the abundance of R. *argentea*

There was a gradual increase in pH from 1997 to 2011 (Figure 9a), while the biomass of *R. argentea* dropped from 1997 to 2000, increased gradually until 2007 and has been relatively stable since then. Figure 9b indicates a positive correlation between biomass and pH in the lake. Figure 10a shows a steady drop in secchi disc visibility and biomass while figure 10b indicates negative correlation between the two parameters. The temperature gradually increased from 1997 to 2008 and thereafter remained more or less steady (Figure 11a). Figure 11b indicates a positive relationship between the biomass and the temperature. Figure 12a shows a gradual decrease in dissolved oxygen from 1997 to 2011 and figure 12b indicates a negative relationship between dissolved oxygen and biomass of *R. argentea* in the Kenyan part of Lake Victoria.



Figure 7: Yield (Tons) (a), Index of biomass (b), recruitment (Numbers in millions) (c), trends of biomass (Tons) (d) and, the exploitation ratio (e) of *R. argentea* in the Ugandan part of Lake Victoria, vertical line shows when the seasonal ban was first implemented on the Kenyan part of the lake.



Figure 8: CPUE for the fisheries of *R. argentea* in the Ugandan and Kenyan part of Lake Victoria from 1997-2011.

Wanyama



Figure 9: Trends of biomass and pH (a) and the relationship between biomass of R. *argentea* and pH (b), in the Lake Victoria's Nyanza gulf, Kenya from 1997-2011.

Wanyama



Figure 10: Trends of biomass of *R. argentea* and Secchi-depth (a) and the relationship between biomass and secchi -depth (b) in the Lake Victoria's Nyanza gulf, Kenya from 1997-2011.



Figure 11: Trends of biomass of *R. argentea* and Temperature (a) and the relationship between biomass and temperature (b) in the Lake Victoria's Nyanza gulf, Kenya from 1997-2011.



Figure 12: Trends of biomass of *R. argentea* and dissolved oxygen (a) and the relationship between biomass and dissolved oxygen (b), in Lake Victoria's Nyanza gulf, Kenya from 1997-2011.

Outputs of P-values for environmental parameters from the R-statistical Program

When tested whether there was a significant correlation between the environmental parameters and the biomass, the biomass was significantly correlated to all parameters except the amount of chlorophyll (a) (Table 4).

Table 4: Results of linear regressions between the biomass of *R. argentea* and environmental parameters in the Lake Victoria's Nyanza gulf, Kenya from 1997-2011, significant codes: 0'***'0.001'**'0.01'*'0.05.

Environmental Parameter	P-value
Temperature	0.001423**
Chlorophyll a	0.09573
РН	8.913e-06***
DO	0.001557**
Secci disc	0.001484**

4. DISCUSSION

This study reviewed the trend of biomass of *R. argentea* in the entire Lake Victoria for the period before and after the implementation of closed fishing-seasons in the Kenyan part of the lake, which commenced in 2001. The biomass of *R. argentea* in the entire Lake Victoria was low between 1999 and 2001 compared to later values (Figure 3). This low level might be a result of ecological and environmental changes and/or possibly of increased fishing effort for the then newly commercialized species. Gichuki *et al.* (2010) and Njiru *et al.* (2008) argued that changes in ecological interactions due to species introduction, predation accelerated by the environmental changes and increased fishing pressure continue to cause complicated ecosystem dynamics in Lake Victoria and pose uncertainties on the lakes future stability and sustainability of the fisheries resources.

Then there is a major increase in the biomass of *R. argentea* in the entire lake from 2005 to 2011, with the peak in 2007, six years after enforcement of a seasonal ban on fishing in the Kenyan portion of the lake. This study agrees with Kayanda *et al.* (2009) about the increase in *R. argentea* biomass from 1999-2008. He reported the biomass of *R. argentea* in 1999 to be about 245 000 tons (10% of the total fish biomass in the lake), 477 000 tons (23%) during 2000- 2001, and just over million tons in 2005-2008 or 50% of the total fish biomass. The increase in the biomass of *R. argentea* in the entire lake can be a result of various interacting factors. One such important factor, which could affect the biomass of *R. argentea* for food and space (Kishe *et al.* 2012). Increasing predation of Nile perch on the endemic haplochromines, the preferred prey of Nile perch, may therefore have led to an increase in abundance of *R. argentea*. (Wanink 1999, Ogutu-Ohwayo 1990b, Goudswaard *et al.* 2008, Witte *et al.* 1992).

The decreasing yield from 1997 to 2000 in the Kenyan part of the lake may have justified the authorization of a closed fishing-season for *R. argentea* in 2001. The question is though, what factors were responsible for this decrease? (Figure 6a). Based on figure 6e the exploitation ratio was high in the period before the closure (1998-2000), which could have resulted in reduced spawning stock and consequently low recruitment of *R. argentea*. The yield and biomass in Kenyan waters was highest in 2007 (Figure 6a) at the same time when the biomass of the entire lake peaked (Figure 5). The yield on the Uganda part was also low from 1997 to 2001 (Figure 7a), but after 2003 it increased steadily to date. However the yield in the Ugandan part of the lake has been much lower than on the Kenya side although the biomass in the Ugandan part has been twice as high. Like in the Kenyan part of the lake, the biomass began increasing in Ugandan waters from 2003. While the biomass in Kenyan waters rose from 2003-2005 and then levelled off, the biomass in Ugandan water have been rising steadily to date. This poses the question of whether this increase resulted from the closed season in the Kenyan portion of the lake or if some other changes e.g. the environment played a bigger role.

If the closed season in the Kenyan portion of the lake were supposed to lead to an overall increase in biomass in the entire lake, then it must be assumed that the main spawning takes place during the closed season and that the most important spawning areas are within the Kenyan part of the lake. If so the ban may have helped in increasing the spawning population and recruitment of the species. However very little information about breeding seasons of this species is available and what is available is inconsistent. According to Wandera (1992), R. argentea breeds throughout the year with peaks occurring just after the rain seasons in April-May and August-September. Wandera (1999) later notes based on a research carried out in Ugandan waters in 1996-1997, that the species breeds throughout the year and two breeding peaks were observed during the drier months of August and December-January. Minimal breeding was observed in the rainy months of April-May and October-November. According to a report on fish breeding areas and seasons in Lake Victoria- Kenya (Manyala et al. 2001) there are two peaks in the rainy seasons in March to August and October to December, which are found to be the major fish breeding seasons for most species of fishes of Lake Victoria. Njiru (1995) noted that breeding seasons are May to November for Nyanza Gulf and May to October for open waters. The information above about the breeding season of *R. argentea* contradicts the conclusions of Okedi (1973) who noted that *R. argentea* breeds in the months of June, July and August.

Many things seem to support the idea that the increasing biomass of *R. argentea* in the whole lake resulted from favourable climatic or environmental conditions, since fluctuations in the biomass of pelagic fish stocks of short lived species are often climate driven (Marie and Allison 2000, Jeppe *et al.* 2008)). A comparison of changes in environmental parameters in the lake (dissolved oxygen, temperature, turbidity, chlorophyll and pH) and biomass of *R. argentea* indicate a significant correlation between the biomass of *R. argentea* and changes in environmental parameters except chlorophyll-a concentration, which had fewer measurement points than the others (Table 4). Biomass of *R. argentea* can be related to environmental factors in many ways. Factors such as dissolved oxygen, temperature, transparency (secchi-disk depth), and pH-value can be an indicator of water quality which can influence some of the changes in fish biodiversity

(Manyala *et al.* 2003). E.g. the decline in transparency of the water may be partly because of the algal blooms and silt carried in by the rivers may be implicated in the decline of the haplochromines by disrupting their mating behaviour, which relies heavily on visual cues (Seehausen *et al.* 1997). Oxygen is necessary to sustain life of fishes. Availability of dissolved oxygen (DO) is one abiotic factor that can limit distribution, growth, reproduction, and survival of fishes (Kramer 1987). Currently, a hypoxia (condition of low oxygen) is evident in Lake Victoria (Table 3). Studies have found 3 mgl⁻¹ to be the critical DO concentration below, which most fish in Lake Victoria will not survive (Kaufman 1992, Wanink *et al.* 2001). However, the effects of hypoxia could be positive for some species as competition and predation by other species might decline.

Increasing pH and condition of low oxygen in the lake points to the process of eutrophication in the lake as a result of increased primary production, expressed in increased zooplankton production and in tertiary production in form of *R. argentea*. However at some stage this may lead to oxygen depletion stage reaching the entire lake, a case which impact negatively on all the fisheries productivity in the lake. (Jeppe *et al.* 2008).

Inter-annual and seasonal variability in rainfall and temperature can also affect primary productivity equilibrium at higher trophic levels, which will then be reflected in the fluctuating biomass and catch rates of *R. argentea* with no unpredictable pattern (Johnson 2009, Kayanda *et al.* 2009).

However, since all the environmental parameters' measurements were from Nyanza gulf, this case may not necessary be representative of the whole lake but gives a likely scenario of what could be going on within gulfs of the entire lake thus having impacts on fish populations as gulfs are fish breeding areas.

Relatively little information has been published on small pelagic species in fresh water lakes (Marie and Allison 2000). However, available information on ecosystems, environmental studies and government fishery statistics all point to the fact that small pelagic clupeids and cyprinids in fresh water lakes fluctuate extensively from year to year, most likely, as a response to climate driven variations in primary and secondary biological productivity (Tweddle and Lewis 1990, Allison *et al.* 1995, Plisnier 1997). The management strategies employed to manage the fisheries in African inland lakes often focuses too much on optimal catch rates, while ignoring the fact that the inherent fluctuations in many fish stocks, especially short lived pelagics, are closely linked to climate which keeps changing (Marie and Allison 2000).

5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusions

R. argentea biomass increased considerably after implementation of closed seasons on the Kenyan side of the lake. However, it did so as well in the entire lake, without closed season being implemented in Uganda and Tanzania portions of the lake. At the same time considerable environmental changes have been occurring in the lake. The yield in Kenya and Uganda portions of the lake improved from 2003 and has been fairly stable or increased since 2007 to 2011.

There is no consensus on the peak breeding seasons of *R. argentea* in Lake Victoria, although it was one of the key assumptions when the ban was implemented, that it would enhance breeding success. It is not clear why closed seasons for fishing in Kenya are from April to July, not any other period of the year and why it had to be exactly four months and not more or less (Wandera 1992, Wandera 1999, Manyala *et al.* 2001, Okedi 1973).

The *R. argentea* fishery in Lake Victoria might be influenced by the fishing pressure but probably more so environmental changes as reflected in the fluctuations in the yield and biomass (Gichuki *et al.* 2010) in synchrony with fluctuations in environmental parameters.

5.2 Recommendations

R. argentea is an important fish to the livelihood of riparian communities (Othina and Osewe-Odera (1996). Management interventions should take into account the socioeconomic wellbeing of the communities. The following are therefore recommended:

- More information of the general biology of *R. argentea* are necessary to be able to manage the fishing responsibly.
- If seasonal bans are thought to be necessary, it should be focused restriction targeting a few critical breeding areas and nursery grounds as there is not strong evidence that the current seasonal closures are working.
- A consistent collection of catch and hydro acoustic data are necessary, to enable continuous monitoring of stocks and catches.
- Management measures to be employed should consider the facts that *R. argentea* is a short-lived species and the stock dynamics are closely linked to environmental factors.
- Fisheries management approaches should be reviewed from time to time based on research findings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincere and heartfelt gratitude goes to my supervisors Hreidar Thor Valtysson and Hlynur Ármannsson for their support and patient guidance during the course of the project work. I am highly indebted to the United Nations University Fisheries Training Programme for offering me the fellowship. I would also like to extend my sincere thanks to the Programme coordinators, Tumi Tómasson, Thor Asgeirsson, Mary Francis Davidson and Sigríður Ingvarsdóttir for their support. Special thanks go to the staff of Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, and in particular Chrisphine Nyamweya, for making available the required data. Can't forget the senior staff of the Ministry of Fisheries Development, Kenya for nominating and allowing me to attend this course. Last but not least, I thank the Marine Research Institute and the year 2012 fellows of the Fisheries Training Programme for their resourcefulness.

Glory to God!

LIST OF REFERENCES

Abila, R.O. and Jansen, E.G. (1997). From Local to Global Markets: The Fish Processing and Exporting Industry on the Kenyan part of Lake Victoria - its Structure, Strategies and Socio-economic Impacts. Working Paper no. 1997.

Allison, E.H., Patterson, G., Irvine K., Thompson, A.B and Menz, A. (1995). "The pelagic ecosystem". pp 351-377. In: A. Menz (ed.) *The Fisheries potential and productivity of the pelagic zone of Lake Malawi/Niassa*. Chatham: Natural Resources Institute.

Baer, A. (2001). Aquatic Biodiversity in the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plans of Signatories to the Convention on Biological Diversity. Part 2: Country Thematic Reviews: Tanzania to Zambia. UNEP and IDRC. 24 pp.

Balirwa J.S. (2003). Biodiversity and fishery sustainability in the Lake Victoria Basin: An unexpected marriage? *BioScience* 53: 703–715.

Bokea, C. and Ikiara, M. (2000). The Macro economy of the Export Fishing Industry in Lake Victoria, Kenya. Socio-economics of the Lake Victoria Fisheries Project Technical Report no.7. IUCN Eastern Africa Regional Program. Nairobi: IUCN.

Cowx, I. G., Van der Knaap, M., Muhoozi, L. I., and Othina A. (2003). Improving fishery catch statistics for Lake Victoria. *Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management* 399-310.

Cowx, I.G and Tumwebaze, R. (2008). Effect of *Ligula intestinalis* on the reproductive capacity of *R. argentea* in Lake Victoria. *Journal of Fish Biology* 73 (9):2249–2260.

Gichuki, J., Getabu, A., Ezekiel, C., and Mkumbo, O.C. (2010). The Status of Lake Victoria Environment: Trends and Impacts to Fish Stocks. IGL Global, 406-418.

GoK. (2001). Kenya Gazette notice No. 7565 of 9th November.

GoK. (2004). Assessing impacts of Omena (*Rastrineobola argentea*) ban in Lake Victoria. Kenya: Ministry of Fisheries Development.

GoK. (2011). Annual Report, Nyanza Province. Kisumu: Ministry of Fisheries Development.

Goudswaard, K.P.C., Witte, F. and Katunzi, E.F.B. (2008). The invasion of an introduced predator, Nile perch (*Lates niloticus*) in Lake Victoria (East Africa): chronology and causes. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 81:127 – 139.

Hilborn, R. and Walters, C. 1992. *Quantitative Fisheries Stock Assessment: Choice, Dynamics and Uncertainty.* Chapman and Hall, New York.

Jeppe, K., Zwieten, P., Hecky, R., Mkumbo, O., & Selsbe, G. (2008). Are Lake Victoria Fisheries threatened by Exploitation or Eutrophication? Towards an Ecosystem Approach to Management. *The Ecosystem Approach to Fisheries* 309-355.

Johnson, J.L. (2009). Climate Change and Fishery Sustainability in Lake Victoria. *African Journal of Tropical Hydrobiology and Fisheries* 12:31-36.

Kaufman, L.S. (1992). Catastrophic change in species-rich freshwater ecosystems: The lessons of Lake Victoria. *Bioscience* 42:846–858.

Kayanda, R., Anthony, M.T., Rhoda, T., Levi, M., Tsuma, J., Enock, M. (2009). Status of the Major Commercial Fish Stocks and Proposed Species-specific Management Plans for Lake Victoria. *African Journal of Tropical Hydrobiology and Fisheries* 12:15-21.

Kigongo, J. N. (2002). Diurnal vertical distribution of feeding partterns of *R. argentea* and other key-stone fish sspecies in the pelagic zone of Murchison Bay,Lake VIctoria. Msc Thesis, International Institute for Infrastructural Hydraulic and Environmental Engineering.

Kishe, M., Frans, Alphonze, M., W., Jan, H.W., and Egid, F.K. (2012). The diet of Nile perch, *Lates niloticus* (L.) after resurgence of haplochromine cichlids in the Mwanza Gulf of Lake Victoria. *Hydrobiologia* 682:111-119.

Kramer, D.J. (1987). Dissolved oxygen and fish behaviour. *Environment Biology* 18: 81-92.

LVFO. (2010). Frame Survey Report, Regional Working Group, Frame survey.

Lung'ayia H.B.O., Tackx, A., Gichuki, M.J., and Symoens, J.J. (2000). Phytoplankton community structure and environment in the Kenyan waters of Lake Victoria. *Freshwater Biology* 43:529–43.

Manyala, J.O., Berghe, E.V., and Dadzie, S. (1995). Mortality Exploitation rate and relative yield of *R. argentea* (Pellegrin 1904) in Winam Gulf of Lake Victoria, Kenya. *Scientia Marina* 59(3-4):555-563.

Manyala, J.O., Bolo, J. Z., Onyango, S., and Rambiri, P.O. (2001). Indigenous knowledge and Baseline Data survey on Fish breeding areas and seasons in Lake Victoria-Kenya. Kisumu: LVEMP.

Manyala, J.O and Ojuok, J.E. (2003). Survival of the Lake Victoria *R. argentea* in a rapidly changing environment: Biotic and abiotic interactions. Moi University, Eldoret.

Marie, T.S, and Allison, E.H. (2000). Fluctuating Fisheries in Africa's Inland Waters: Well Adapted. IIFET, 1-11.

Marshall, J. and Cowx, I.G. (2003). "Will the explosion of *Ligula intestinalis* in *R. argentea* lead to another shift in the fisheries of Lake Victoria?" *In* (Cowx, I. G. ed.) *Interactions Between Fish and Birds: Implications for Management*, pp. 244–256. Oxford: Blackwell Science .

Mugidde, R., Gichuki, J., Rutagemwa, D., Ndawula, L. and Matovu, A. (2005). "Status of water quality and its implication on fishery production" *In: The state of the fisheries resources of Lake Victoria and their management* pp106-112. Proceedings of the regional stakeholders' conference. Entebbe, Uganda- LVFO, Jinja, Uganda. ISBN 9970-713-10-12.

Njiru, J.M. (1995). Growth studies in juvenile *Rastrineobola argentea* using otolith microstructures. M. Sc. Thesis, University of British Columbia.

Njiru. M.I, Gichuki, J and Muhoozi, L. (2008). An overview of the current status of Lake Victoria fishery. *Lakes & Reservoirs: Research and Management* 1-12.

Ogutu-Ohwayo, R. (1990 a). Changes in prey ingested and variations in Nile perch and other fish stocks in Lake Kyoga and the Northern waters of Lake Victoria (Uganda). *Journal of Fish Biology* 37:55–63.

Ogutu-Ohwayo, R. (1990 b). The decline in the native fishes of lakes Victoria and Kyoga (East Africa) and the impact of introduced fishes, especially Nile perch, *Lates niloticus* and Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 27:81-96.

Ojwang, W. O., Kaufman, L., Agembe, S., Asila, A. (2004). Iso- 465 topic Evidence of Functional Overlap amongst the Resilient Pelagic Fishes of Lake Victoria, Kenya. *Hydrobiologia* 15: 1–9.

Okedi, J. (1973). Preliminary observations on Engraulicypris argenteus from Lake Victoria. EAFFRO Ann. Rep. 1973.

Othina, A. N and S. Osewe-Odera (1996). "Catch and Effort Assessment Survey for Artisanal Fisheries of Lake Victoria (Kenya Waters)". Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, Kisumu.

Othina, A. N and S. Osewe-Odera. (1997). Catch and Effort Assessment Survey for Artisanal Fisheries of Lake Victoria (Kenya Waters). Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, Kisumu.

Plisnier P.D. (1997). Climate, Limnology and Fisheries Changes in Lake Tanganyika. FAO/FINNIDA: Research for the Management of the Fisheries on Lake Tanganyika. GCP/RAF/271/FIN-TD/72 (available from <u>ftp://ftp.fao.org/fi/ltr/TD72.PDF</u>)

Prager, M.H. 1994. A suite of extensions to a non-equilibrium surplus production model. *Fishery Bulletin* 92: 374-389.

Prado, J., Beare, R.J., Siwo, J.M., and Oluka, L.E. (1991). A catalogue of fishing methods and gears used in Lake Victoria. UNDP\FAO Regional Project for Inland Fisheries Planning (IFIP). RAF\87\099-TD\19\91.

Punt, A.E and Hilborn, R. 1996. *Biomass dynamic models*. User's manual. FAO Computerized Information Series (Fisheries). No. 10. Rome: FAO

Seehausen, O., Van Alphen, J.M and Witte, F. (1997). Cichlid fish diversity threatened by eutrophication that curbs sexual selection. *Science* 277: 1808-1811. Stefansson, G. (2007). *FISH 508. Stastical stock assessment*. [December 2012] <tutor-web.net/fish/fish5108statass>

Tweddle, D. and Lewis, D.S.C. (1990). "The biology of Usipa (*Engraulicypris sardella*) in relation to fluctuations in productivity of Lake Malawi and species introductions" In: Pitcher, T.J. and Hollingworth. E.C, editors, *Collected Reports on Fisheries Research in Malawi* pp 67-72. Occasional Papers Vol. 1. London: Overseas Development Administration.

Wandera, S.B. (1992). The Lake Victoria Dagaa (*R. argentea*), Report of the First Meeting of the Working Group on Lake Victoria. Malawi: IFIP Project.

Wandera. S.B. (1999). Reproductive biology of *R. argentea* (Pellegrin) in Northern waters of Lake Victoria. LVFRP Technical Document 7, LVFRP, Jinja.

Wanink, J. H., Witte, F and Hoogenboezem, W. (1998). "Life history tactics of the Lake Victoria sardine *R. argentea*, a survivor of the introduced Nile perch." In J. H. Wanink, *The pelagic cyprinid R. argentea as a crucial link in the disrupted ecosystem of Lake Victoria: Dwarfs and giants –African adventures* pp. 183–193. Ph.D. thesis, University of Leiden:

Wanink, J. H. (1999). Prospects for the fishery on the small pelagic *R. argentea* in Lake Victoria. *Hydrobiologia*. 407: 183-189.

Wanink, J.H, Goudswaard, J.J., and Witte, F. (2001). Dwelling at the oxycline: does increased stratification provide a predation refugium for the Lake Victoria sardine *R. argentea*? *Freshwater Biology* 46: 75–85.

Witte, F., Goldschmidt T, Wanink, J.H, Van Oijen M, Goudswaard PC, Witte-Maas, E. and Bouton, N. (1992). The destruction of an endemic species flock: quantitative data on the decline of the haplochromine cichlids of Lake Victoria. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 34: 1–28.

Witte, F., Wanink, J.H and Kishe, M.A. (2007). Species distinction and the biodiversity crisis in Lake Victoria. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 136: 1146-1159.

APPENDICES

R-SCRIPTS

R-script for Uganda Waters # Set up data-R. argentea specific yrs<-1997:2011 # actual data years # actual ages ages<-1 # Annual catch data Y<-c(13454,15685,17572,12162,12163,11981,8248,22902,25672,22618, 30800, 33000, 47000,63560,NA) # Annual abundance index I<-c(NA,NA,NA,19.1,16.7,14.0,8.2,19.4,18.1,14.1,14.6,14.1,19.5,23.5,NA) I<-c(NA,NA,46970,168219,83833,NA,NA,NA,255221,360262, 469553,352566,369045,NA,404583) Wts<-rep (1.6, length (yrs))/1000 # Wt ages repeated to the length of years # Number of ages and years g<-length(ages) # Number of true age groups totyrs < -length(Y)# Number of years # Initialize values of all parameters # Some will later be estimated - others simply fixed at assumed values # Natural mortality M<-2 aveRecr<-10000000 selpat < -rep(0.9,15) # Selection pattern-might estimate-probably not q<-1 # Initial value of catchability Fvec<-rep(0.5,totyrs) # Annual fishing mortality # now set up an appropriate mean weight at age for age grps 1:g and plus grp #Zplus<-1.08 # cumZ values in the plus group #Nplus<-aveRecr*exp(-Zplus)</pre> # age structure in plus group #wtplus<-3.9/1000 # mean wt in plus grp # Weight at age 1 from from (Manyala, 1995) w<-wts # Initial stock size: Assume equilibrium Ninit<-aveRecr*exp(-cumsum(c(0,M))[1:g]) # only true ages # alternatively, sum up instead of using a formula: # # Ninit<-aveRecr*exp (-cumsum(c(0,rep(0.3,100))))</pre> # Ninit <-c(Ninit[1:g],sum(Ninit[(g+1):100]))Rvec<-rep (aveRecr, totyrs) # Initial values of annual recruitment # Put the initial values of parameters to be estimated into a vector

```
Parameters<-c(log (Fvec), log (Rvec), log(q)) # Initial values of all parameters
# aspm.r
# aspm: Performs forward simulation of populations, given values of parameters
aspm<-function(Fvec,Rvec,totyrs,M,w){
 Yhat<-NULL
 Bhat<-NULL
 Rtemp<-Rvec[1]
#N0<-Ninit
                         # First start-of-year stock size - fixed
 #N0<-Rtemp*exp(-cumsum(c(0,M[1:(length(M)-1)]))) # initial start-of-year stock size -
equil.
 N0<-aveRecr*exp(-cumsum(c(0,M))[1:g]) # better: first only true ages
 for (year in 1:totyrs){
    baseF<-Fvec
                         # Base fishing mortality
  F0<-baseF*selpat
                            # Fishing mortality during the year
  C < -(F0/(F0+M))*(1-exp(-(F0+M)))*N0
  Ytemp<-sum(C*w)
                                # Total landings
  Ntemp<-N0*exp(-F0-M)
                                # Forw proj - gives ages 2:(g+1)
  Noldest<-Ntemp[g]+Ntemp[g+1] # Allow for plus-group
  #print(Ytemp)
  Yhat<-c(Yhat, Ytemp)
  Bhat<-c(Bhat,sum(N0*w))
  Rtemp<-Rvec[year]
  N1<-c(Rtemp,Ntemp[1:(g-1)],Noldest)# Set up stock at end of year
                        # Prepare for next round
  N0<-N1
 }
 return(list(Yhat=Yhat,Bhat=Bhat))
 }
 #ssefcn.r
 ssefcn<-function(parameters,Y,I,M,w){
 totyrs < -length(Y)
 Fvec<-exp(parameters[1:totyrs])
 Rvec<-exp(parameters[(totyrs+1):(2*totyrs)])
 q<-exp(parameters[2*totyrs+1])
 #alpha<-parameters[2*totyrs+2]</pre>
 #K<-parameters[2*totyrs+3]
 proj<-aspm(Fvec,Rvec,totyrs,M,w)
 Yhat<-proj$Yhat
 Bhat<-proj$Bhat
 #print(Bhat)
 Ihat<-q*Bhat
 CVR <-0.01
 CVF <-0.01
CVY <-0.01
CVI <- 0.01
 SSEY<-sum(na.omit((log(Y)-log(Yhat)))^2)
 SSEI<-sum(na.omit((log(I)-log(Ihat)))^2)
```

```
cat(' n n')
 cat(SSEI,'\n')
 cat(' | n | n')
 SSER<-sum((log(Rvec[2:totyrs])-log(Rvec[1:(totyrs-1)]))^2)
 SSEF<-sum((log(Fvec[2:totyrs])-log(Fvec[1:(totyrs-1)]))^2)
 # SSE<-SSEI+SSEY+SSER
 SSE<- (1/CVI^2)*SSEI +(1/CVY^2)*SSEY +(1/CVF^2)*SSEF +(1/CVR^2)*SSER
 return(SSE)
}
# Get a better initial value of q...
fit0<-aspm(Fvec,Rvec,totyrs,M,w)
q<-mean(na.omit(I))/mean(fit0$Bhat)
parameters.0 <-c(\log(Fvec), \log(Rvec), \log(q)) # Initial values of all parameters
fm<-nlm(ssefcn,parameters.0,iterlim=500,Y=Y,I=I,M=M,w=w)
parameters<-fm$estimate
Fvec<-exp(parameters[1:totyrs])
Rvec<-exp(parameters[(totyrs+1):(2*totyrs)])
q <-exp(parameters[2*totyrs+1])
fmopt<-aspm(Fvec,Rvec,totyrs,M,w)
Yhat<-fmopt$Yhat
Bhat<-fmopt$Bhat
Ihat<-q*Bhat
Time<-1:totyrs
par(mfrow=c(2,3), mar=c(4,4,2,1))
plot(yrs,Y,xlab="Year", main='Yield')
lines(yrs, Yhat)
plot(yrs,I,xlab="Year",ylim=c(0,max(na.omit(I))), main='Index')
lines(yrs,Ihat)
plot(yrs,Rvec,xlab="Year",ylab="Recruitment",ylim=c(0,max(Rvec)),
  type='h', main='Recruitment', lwd=3)
#plot(yrs,Fvec,xlab="Year",ylab="F",ylim=c(0,max(Fvec)), main='Fishing mortality')
plot(yrs,Bhat,xlab="Year",ylab="Biomass",
  type='l', lwd=2,main='Biomass')
plot(yrs,Y/Bhat,xlab="Year",ylab="Biomass",
  main='Exploitation ratio', type='l', lwd=2)
R-script for Kenva waters
yrs<-1997:2011
                   # actual data years
ages<-1
                    # actual ages
# Annual catch data
Y<-c(40315,42336,40168,38968,49165,35455,31659,34679,54019,57929,49472,46966,
  49326,70000,72314)
# Annual abundance index
I<-c(21.42,14.65,20.05,19.37,23.00,16.91,12.34,11.38,18.44,18.21,
   16.45,15.41,17.07,25.93,25.42)
```

I<-c(NA,NA,19460,14461,41993,NA,NA,NA,100066,64768, 90152,64135,57986,NA,43133)

wts<-rep(1.6,length(yrs))/1000 # Wt ages repeated to the length of years # Number of ages and years g<-length(ages) # Number of true age groups totyrs < -length(Y)# Number of years # Initialize values of all parameters # Some will later be estimated - others simply fixed at assumed values M<-2 # Natural mortality aveRecr<-1000000 selpat<-rep(0.9,15) # Selection pattern-might estimate-probably not # Initial value of catchability a<-1 Fvec<-rep(0.5,totyrs) # Annual fishing mortality # now set up an appropriate mean weight at age for age grps 1:g and plus grp #Zplus<-1.08 # cumZ values in the plus group #Nplus<-aveRecr*exp(-Zplus)</pre> # age structure in plus group #wtplus<-3.9/1000 # mean wt in plus grp # Weight at age 3-6 from MRI 2011 report w<-wts # Initial stock size: Assume equilibrium Ninit<-aveRecr*exp(-cumsum(c(0,M))[1:g]) # only true ages # Alternatively, sum up instead of using a formula: # # Ninit<-aveRecr*exp(-cumsum(c(0,rep(0.3,100))))</pre> # Ninit <-c(Ninit[1:g],sum(Ninit[(g+1):100]))Rvec<-rep(aveRecr,totyrs) # Initial values of annual recruitment # Put the initial values of parameters to be estimated into a vector parameters<-c(log(Fvec),log(Rvec),log(q)) # Initial values of all parameters # aspm.r # aspm: Performs forward simulation of populations, given values of parameters aspm<-function(Fvec,Rvec,totyrs,M,w){ Yhat<-NULL Bhat<-NULL Rtemp<-Rvec[1] #N0<-Ninit # First start-of-year stock size - fixed #N0<-Rtemp*exp(-cumsum(c(0,M[1:(length(M)-1)]))) # initial start-of-year stock size equil. N0<-aveRecr*exp(-cumsum(c(0,M))[1:g]) # better: first only true ages for(year in 1:totyrs){ baseF<-Fvec # Base fishing mortality F0<-baseF*selpat # Fishing mortality during the year

```
C < -(F0/(F0+M))*(1-exp(-(F0+M)))*N0
```

```
Ytemp<-sum(C*w)
                                # Total landings
  Ntemp<-N0*exp(-F0-M)
                                # Forw proj - gives ages 2:(g+1)
  Noldest<-Ntemp[g]+Ntemp[g+1] # Allow for plus-group
  #print(Ytemp)
  Yhat<-c(Yhat, Ytemp)
  Bhat<-c(Bhat,sum(N0*w))
  Rtemp<-Rvec[year]
  N1<-c(Rtemp,Ntemp[1:(g-1)],Noldest)# Set up stock at end of year
                        # Prepare for next round
  N0<-N1
   }
  return(list(Yhat=Yhat,Bhat=Bhat))
   }
  #ssefcn.r
  ssefcn<-function(parameters,Y,I,M,w){
  totyrs < -length(Y)
  Fvec<-exp(parameters[1:totyrs])
  Rvec<-exp(parameters[(totyrs+1):(2*totyrs)])
   q<-exp(parameters[2*totyrs+1])
    #alpha<-parameters[2*totyrs+2]
    #K<-parameters[2*totyrs+3]
    proj<-aspm(Fvec,Rvec,totyrs,M,w)
    Yhat<-proj$Yhat
    Bhat<-proj$Bhat
    #print(Bhat)
    Ihat<-q*Bhat
    CVR <-0.01
    CVF <-0.01
     CVY <-0.01
 CVI <-0.01
  SSEY<-sum(na.omit((log(Y)-log(Yhat)))^2)
 SSEI<-sum(na.omit((log(I)-log(Ihat)))^2)
 cat(' | n | n')
 cat(SSEI, '\n')
 cat(' n n')
 SSER<-sum((log(Rvec[2:totyrs])-log(Rvec[1:(totyrs-1)]))^2)
 SSEF<-sum((log(Fvec[2:totyrs])-log(Fvec[1:(totyrs-1)]))^2)
 # SSE<-SSEI+SSEY+SSER
 SSE<- (1/CVI^2)*SSEI +(1/CVY^2)*SSEY +(1/CVF^2)*SSEF +(1/CVR^2)*SSER
 return(SSE)
# Get a better initial value of q...
fit0<-aspm(Fvec,Rvec,totyrs,M,w)
q<-mean(na.omit(I))/mean(fit0$Bhat)
parameters.0 <-c(\log(Fvec), \log(Rvec), \log(q)) # Initial values of all parameters
fm<-nlm(ssefcn,parameters.0,iterlim=500,Y=Y,I=I,M=M,w=w)
parameters<-fm$estimate
```

}

Fvec<-exp(parameters[1:totyrs]) Rvec<-exp(parameters[(totyrs+1):(2*totyrs)]) q < -exp(parameters[2*totyrs+1])fmopt<-aspm(Fvec,Rvec,totyrs,M,w) Yhat<-fmopt\$Yhat Bhat<-fmopt\$Bhat Ihat<-q*Bhat time<-1:totyrs par(mfrow=c(2,3), mar=c(4,4,2,1))plot(yrs,Y,xlab="Year",ylim=c(0,max(Y)), main='Yield') lines(yrs, Yhat) plot(yrs,I,xlab="Year",ylim=c(0,max(na.omit(I))), main='Index') lines(yrs,Ihat) plot(yrs,Rvec,xlab="Year",ylab="Recruitment",ylim=c(0,max(Rvec)), type='h', main='Recruitment', lwd=3) #plot(yrs,Fvec,xlab="Year",ylab="F",ylim=c(0,max(Fvec)), main='Fishing mortality') plot(yrs,Bhat,xlab="Year",ylab="Biomass",ylim=c(0,max(Bhat)), type='l', lwd=2,main='Biomass') plot(yrs,Y/Bhat,xlab="Year",ylab="Biomass",ylim=c(0,max(Y/Bhat)), main='Exploitation ratio', type='l', lwd=2)

Outputs for Temperature, Secci disc, Dissolved oxygen, PH and chlorophyll from Rstatistical programme. Summary (lm (Bhat~Temp, env.data))

Call: $lm(formula = Bhat \sim Temp, data = env.data)$ Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -65174 -45991 3013 29679 97177 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)(Intercept) -7751680 1989652 -3.896 0.00184 ** 74443 4.032 0.00142 ** Temp 300171 Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Residual standard error: 51000 on 13 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.5557, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5215 F-statistic: 16.26 on 1 and 13 DF, p-value: 0.001423 Summary (Im (Bhat~ Chl, env.data)) Call: $lm(formula = Bhat \sim Chl, data = env.data)$ Residuals: 3 4 10 11 12 13 -89323 -20835 48920 22420 -40224 79043 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) -143200 200111 -0.716 0.5138 Chl 30716 14150 2.171 0.0957. Signif. Codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1 Residual standard error: 69240 on 4 degrees of freedom (9 observations deleted due to missingness) Multiple R-squared: 0.5409, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4261 F-statistic: 4.712 on 1 and 4 DF, p-value: 0.09573 > summary(lm(Bhat~PH, env.data)) Call: $lm(formula = Bhat \sim PH, data = env.data)$ **Residuals:** Min 10 Median 3Q Max -45693 -23739 -8230 28750 50680 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)(Intercept) -750514 145542 -5.157 0.000185 *** PH 123917 17623 7.031 8.91e-06 *** Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Residual standard error: 34910 on 13 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.7918, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7758 F-statistic: 49.44 on 1 and 13 DF, p-value: 8.913e-06 > Summary (Im (Bhat~ Do, env.data)) Call: $lm(formula = Bhat \sim Do, data = env.data)$ Residuals: Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -58051 -29502 -17254 26193 85931 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)(Intercept) 454510 47925 9.484 3.32e-07 *** Do 7871 -3.987 0.00155 ** -31380 Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1 Residual standard error: 51320 on 13 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.5501, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5155 F-statistic: 15.89 on 1 and 13 DF, p-value: 0.001551 > Summary (Im (Bhat~Secchi, env.data)) Call: lm(formula = Bhat ~ Secci, data = env.data) **Residuals:** Min 10 Median 30 Max -67788 -38382 2688 44681 71091 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)34899 11.47 3.57e-08 *** (Intercept) 400424 Secci -151317 37736 -4.01 0.00148 ** Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1

Residual standard error: 51160 on 13 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.5529, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5185 F-statistic: 16.08 on 1 and 13 DF, p-value: 0.001484